

# Wilson-loop One-point Functions in ABJM Theory

**Jun-Bao Wu**

Center for Joint Quantum Studies  
Tianjin University

Based on Yunfeng Jiang, **JW** and Peihe Yang,  
[JHEP09\(2023\)047](#), [[arxiv: 2306.05773](#)]  
East Asia Joint Workshop on Fields and Strings 2023  
Northwest University, Xi'an, China

Nov. 16, 2023

# Introduction

- AdS/CFT correspondence plays an important role in the study of theoretical physics in the since 1997. [Maldacena, 97][Gubser, Klebanov, Polyakov, 98][Witten, 98]
- In many cases, this correspondence is a **strong-weak duality**.
- So we can use weakly coupled gravity/string theory to compute quantities in strongly coupled gauge theory in the large N limit.
- The quantities include amplitudes, correlation functions of local operators, vacuum expectation values of loop operators, entanglement entropy, etc.

# Introduction

- However, this also makes it hard to confirm this correspondences, since we need to compute quantities in the gauge theory side **non-perturbatively**.
- The non-perturbative tools in the field theory side of gauge/gravity correspondence include **integrability**, supersymmetric localization, conformal bootstrap...
- Integrability makes people be able to compute many quantities in the large  $N$  limit, even beyond the BPS sectors.

## Integrability in $AdS_5/CFT_4$

- [Minahan and Zarembo \(02\)](#) found that the planar one-loop anomalous dimension matrix in the  $SO(6)$  sector of  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM can be mapped to an **integrable** Hamiltonian on a spin chain!
- Then the eigenvalues of this anomalous dimension matrix can be computed using integrability.
- This was later generalized to the full sector at planar all-loop level (in the asymptotic sense). [[Beisert, Kistjansen, Staudacher, 03](#)][[Beisert, Staudacher, 04](#)] ...
- [Benna, Polchinski and Roiban \(03\)](#) found that the worldsheet theory of IIB superstring on  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  in the free limit is a two-dimensional integrable field theory.
- Integrability is an important non-perturbative tool in  $AdS_5/CFT_4$  correspondence.

## Integrability in $AdS_4/CFT_3$

- Three dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 6$   $U(N)_k \times U(N)_{-k}$  super-Chern-Simons theory is dual to IIA string theory on  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$ .
- The integrable structure was also found in this  $AdS_4/CFT_3$  correspondence. [Minahan, Zarembo, 08][Bak, Rey, 08][Gromov, Vieira, 08]
- Almost every aspect of integrability in this case is **more complicated and difficult**.

## Integrable boundary state (IBS)

- Integrable boundary states play important role in both quantum quench dynamics and AdS/CFT correspondence. [Piroli, Pozsgay, Vernier, 17]
- IBS appears in the one-point functions of a single-trace operator with a domain wall [de Leeuw, Kristjansen, Zarembo, 15]/Wilson loop [Jiang, Komatsu, Vescovi, to appear]/t Hooft loop [Kristjansen, Zarembo, 23], and three point functions of two BPS determinant operators and one single-trace operator in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM theory [Jiang, Komatsu, Vescovi, 19].

## IBS in ABJM theory

- In ABJM theory, IBS also appears in similar three-point functions [Yang, Jiang, Komatsu, **JW**, 21] and domain wall one-point functions [Kristjansen, Vu, Zarembo, 21].
- One aim of this talk is to show that IBS also appears in some BPS Wilson-loop one-point functions in ABJM theory.

# Heisenberg XXX spin chain

- The Hilbert space of a closed XXX spin chain,

$$\mathcal{H} = \otimes_{i=1}^L \mathcal{H}_i, \quad \mathcal{H}_i \cong \mathbf{C}^2. \quad (1)$$

- We consider the Hamiltonian

$$H = J \sum_{j=1}^L \left( S_j^x S_{j+1}^x + S_j^y S_{j+1}^y + S_j^z S_{j+1}^z \right), \quad (2)$$

with periodic boundary condition,

$$S_{L+1}^\alpha = S_1^\alpha, \quad \alpha = x, y, z. \quad (3)$$



# Conserved charges of Heisenberg XXX spin chain

- This Hamiltonian is integrable.
- It has infinity many conserved charges,  $Q_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$
- The generating function of these  $Q$ 's can be chosen as

$$T(u) = U \exp \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u^n}{n!} Q_{n+1} \right), \quad (4)$$

- Here  $U = T(0) = Q_1$  is a shift operator.

## IBS for XXX chain

- The definition of IBS [Piroli, Pozsgay, Vernier, 17] for XXX chain is that the state  $|B\rangle$  satisfying

$$Q_{2l+1}|B\rangle = 0, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots \quad (5)$$

- This is equivalent to

$$\Pi T(u)\Pi|B\rangle = T(u)|B\rangle. \quad (6)$$

where  $\Pi$  is the reflection operator:

$$\Pi|i_1, i_2, \dots, i_L\rangle = |i_L, i_{L-1}, \dots, i_1\rangle. \quad (7)$$

- For ABJM theory, since there are two sets of conserved charges, the definition of the integrable boundary states is a bit different. (More on this later.)

# Properties of IBS

- Eigenstates of integrable Hamiltonian can be labelled by Bethe roots, solutions to certain Bethe ansatz equations (BAEs).
- There exists a **selection rule** for the overlap between an integrable boundary state and a Bethe state. The overlap is nonzero only when the Bethe roots satisfy certain pairing conditions.
- When this selection rule is satisfied, the overlap can often be expressed as a product of a Gaudin determinant  $\det G_+$  and a prefactor. **Great simplification!**

# ABJM theory

- Aharony-Bergman-Jafferis-Maldacena (ABJM) theory is a  $3d$   $\mathcal{N} = 6$  Chern-Simons-matter theory.
- The gauge group is  $U(N) \times U(N)$  with CS levels  $(k, -k)$ .
- The gauge fields are denoted by  $A_\mu$  and  $\hat{A}_\mu$ , respectively.
- The matter fields include complex scalars  $Y^A$  and spinors  $\psi_A$  ( $A = 1, \dots, 4$ ) in the bi-fundamental representation of the gauge group.
- This theory should be low energy effective theory of  $N$  M2-branes putting at the tip of  $\mathbf{C}^4/\mathbf{Z}_k$ .

# Holographic duals

- When  $N \gg k^5$ , this theory is dual to **M-theory on  $AdS_4 \times S^7/Z_k$** .
- When  $k \ll N \ll k^5$ , a better description is in terms of **IIA superstring theory on  $AdS_4 \times CP^3$** .

## Bosonic 1/6-BPS circular WLs

- We consider the Wilson loops (WLs) along  $x^\mu(\tau) = (R \cos \tau, R \sin \tau, 0), \tau \in [0, 2\pi]$ .
- The construction is the following,

$$W_{1/6}^B = \text{Tr} \mathcal{P} \exp \left( -i \oint d\tau \mathcal{A}_{1/6}^B(\tau) \right), \quad (8)$$

$$\hat{W}_{1/6}^B = \text{Tr} \mathcal{P} \exp \left( -i \oint d\tau \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{1/6}^B(\tau) \right), \quad (9)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{1/6}^B = A_\mu \dot{x}^\mu + \frac{2\pi}{k} R_I^J Y^I Y_J^\dagger |\dot{x}|, \quad (10)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{1/6}^B = \hat{A}_\mu \dot{x}^\mu + \frac{2\pi}{k} R^J_I Y_J^\dagger Y^I |\dot{x}|, \quad (11)$$

with  $R^I_J = \text{diag}(i, i, -i, -i)$ . [Drukker, Plefka, Young, 08][Chen, JW, 08][Rey, Suyama, Yamaguchi, 08]

# Half-BPS WLs

- **Drukker and Trancanelli** found the half-BPS WLs in **2009** by including the fermions in the construction.

$$W_{1/2} = \text{Tr} \mathcal{P} \exp \left( -i \oint d\tau L_{1/2}(\tau) \right), \quad L_{1/2} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \bar{f}_1 \\ f_2 & \hat{\mathcal{A}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{A} = A_\mu \dot{x}^\mu + \frac{2\pi}{k} U_I^J Y^I Y_J^\dagger |\dot{x}|, \quad \bar{f}_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{k}} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\zeta} \psi_1 |\dot{x}|, \quad (12)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \hat{A}_\mu \dot{x}^\mu + \frac{2\pi}{k} U_I^J Y_J^\dagger Y^I |\dot{x}|, \quad f_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{k}} \psi^{\dagger 1} \eta \beta |\dot{x}|, \quad (13)$$

with  $\bar{\alpha}\beta = i$ , and  $U_I^J = \text{diag}(i, -i, -i, -i)$ .

# Fermionic 1/6-BPS WL

- We found various fermionic 1/6-BPS WLs along a circle [Ouyang, JW, Zhang, 15], [My talk @ EAJW16].
- Here we focus on a class of fermionic 1/6-BPS WLs:

$$W_{1/6}^F = \text{Tr} \mathcal{P} \exp \left( -i \oint d\tau L_{1/6}^F(\tau) \right), \quad L_{1/6}^F = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \bar{f}_1 \\ f_2 & \hat{\mathcal{A}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{A} = A_\mu \dot{x}^\mu + \frac{2\pi}{k} U_I^J Y^I Y_J^\dagger |\dot{x}|, \quad \bar{f}_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{k}} \bar{\alpha} \bar{\zeta} \psi_1 |\dot{x}|, \quad (14)$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \hat{A}_\mu \dot{x}^\mu + \frac{2\pi}{k} U_I^J Y_J^\dagger Y^I |\dot{x}|, \quad f_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{k}} \psi^{\dagger 1} \eta \beta |\dot{x}|, \quad (15)$$

with  $U_I^J = \text{diag}(i, i - 2\bar{\alpha}\beta, -i, -i)$ .



# Fermionic 1/6-BPS WLs

- When  $\bar{\alpha} = \beta = 0$ , these fermionic 1/6-BPS WLs become (essentially) the bosonic 1/6-BPS WLs.
- When  $\bar{\alpha}\beta = i$ , these fermionic 1/6-BPS WLs become half-BPS WLs.

# Local operators

- We are interested in the tree-level correlation function of a BPS WL along  $x^\mu(\tau) = (R \cos \tau, R \sin \tau, 0)$  and a local operator  $\mathcal{O}_C$  at the origin.
- The definition of  $\mathcal{O}_C$  is  $\mathcal{O}_C = C_{I_1 \dots I_L}^{J_1 \dots J_L} \text{tr}(Y^{I_1} Y_{J_1}^\dagger \dots Y^{I_L} Y_{J_L}^\dagger)$ .
- When  $C$  is symmetric and traceless,  $\mathcal{O}_C$  is a chiral primary operator.
- Here we take  $\mathcal{O}_C$  to be a generic local operator which is eigen-operator of the planar two-loop anomalous dimension matrix.

## ABJM spin chain

- The operator  $\mathcal{O}_C = C_{I_1 \dots I_L}^{J_1 \dots J_L} \text{Tr}(Y^{I_1} Y_{J_1}^\dagger \dots Y^{I_L} Y_{J_L}^\dagger)$  can be mapped to a state  $|C\rangle := C_{I_1 \dots I_L}^{J_1 \dots J_L} |I_1 \bar{J}_1 \dots I_L \bar{J}_L\rangle$  on an alternating closed  $SU(4)$  spin chain with length  $2L$ .
- The Hilbert space of this chain is  $\mathbf{C}^{8L} = \otimes_{i=1}^{2L} \mathbf{C}^4$ .
- The odd site of the chain is in the  $\mathbf{4}$  representation of  $SU(4)$ , while the even site is in the  $\bar{\mathbf{4}}$  representation.

# The spin chain Hamiltonian

- The planar two-loop anomalous dimension matrix can be mapped to the following Hamiltonian on the above chain ([Minahan, Zarembo, 08][Bak, Rey, 08]),

$$\mathbb{H} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{2L} (2 - 2P_{l,l+2} + P_{l,l+2}K_{l,l+1} + K_{l,l+1}P_{l,l+2}), \quad (16)$$

where  $P_{ab}$  and  $K_{ab}$  are permutation and trace operators acting on the  $a$ -th and  $b$ -th sites. We denote the set of orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space at each site by  $|i\rangle$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ . These two operators act as

$$P|i\rangle \otimes |j\rangle = |j\rangle \otimes |i\rangle, \quad K|i\rangle \otimes |j\rangle = \delta_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^4 |k\rangle \otimes |k\rangle. \quad (17)$$

# Integrability

- Using algebraic Bethe ansatz method one can construct two transfer matrices  $\tau(u)$  and  $\bar{\tau}(u)$ , satisfying

$$[\tau(u), \tau(v)] = [\tau(u), \bar{\tau}(v)] = [\bar{\tau}(u), \bar{\tau}(v)] = 0. \quad (18)$$

- They are generating functions of commuting conserved charges, among whom there is the Hamiltonian.
- This proves the integrability of two-loop ABJM spin chain.  
[\[Minahan, Zarembo, 08\]](#)[\[Bak, Rey, 08\]](#)

# Bethe roots

- Eigenstates of  $\mathbb{H}$  can be constructed using algebraic Bethe ansatz and the states are parameterized by three set of Bethe roots,

$$u_1, \dots, u_{K_{\mathbf{u}}}, \quad (19)$$

$$v_1, \dots, v_{K_{\mathbf{v}}}, \quad (20)$$

$$w_1, \dots, w_{K_{\mathbf{w}}}. \quad (21)$$

# Bethe ansatz equations

- These Bethe roots should satisfy the following Bethe ansatz equations,

$$1 = \left( \frac{u_j + \frac{i}{2}}{u_j - \frac{i}{2}} \right)^L \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^{K_u} S(u_j, u_k) \prod_{k=1}^{K_w} \tilde{S}(u_j, w_k), \quad (22)$$

$$1 = \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^{K_w} S(w_j, w_k) \prod_{k=1}^{K_u} \tilde{S}(w_j, u_k) \prod_{k=1}^{K_v} \tilde{S}(w_j, v_k), \quad (23)$$

$$1 = \left( \frac{v_j + \frac{i}{2}}{v_j - \frac{i}{2}} \right)^L \prod_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^{K_v} S(v_j, v_k) \prod_{k=1}^{K_w} \tilde{S}(v_j, w_k), \quad (24)$$

# Bethe ansatz equations

- In the previous page, the S-matrices  $S(u, v)$  and  $\tilde{S}(u, v)$  are given by

$$S(u, v) \equiv \frac{u - v - i}{u - v + i}, \quad \tilde{S}(u, v) \equiv \frac{u - v + \frac{i}{2}}{u - v - \frac{i}{2}}. \quad (25)$$

- The cyclicity property of the single trace operator is equivalent to the zero momentum condition

$$1 = \prod_{j=1}^{K_{\mathbf{u}}} \frac{u_j + \frac{i}{2}}{u_j - \frac{i}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{K_{\mathbf{v}}} \frac{v_j + \frac{i}{2}}{v_j - \frac{i}{2}}. \quad (26)$$

- The eigenvalues of  $\tau(u)$ ,  $\bar{\tau}(u)$ ,  $\mathbb{H}$  on the Bethe state  $|\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\rangle$  can be expressed in terms of the Bethe roots,  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ .



# Numerical solution

- The BAEs and zero momentum condition can be solved using rational  $Q$ -system. [Marboe, Volin, 16][Gu, Jiang, Sperling, 22].
- The Bethe states can be constructed using the algorithm in [Yang, Jiang, JW, Komatsu, 21] based on coordinate Bethe ansatz.

## Wick contractions

- We plan to study the tree-level correlation function of a BPS WL and a local operator. We take  $W(\mathcal{C})_{1/6}^B$  as an example. At tree-level, the correlator  $\langle W(\mathcal{C})_{1/6}^B \mathcal{O}_C(0) \rangle$  only gets contributions from

$$\oint \cdots \oint d\tau_{1>2>\dots>L} \left( \frac{2\pi}{k} \right)^L \langle \text{tr}(R^{\tilde{J}_1}_{\tilde{I}_1} Y^{\tilde{I}_1}(x_1) Y_{\tilde{J}_1}^\dagger(x_1) \cdots R^{\tilde{J}_L}_{\tilde{I}_L} Y^{\tilde{I}_L}(x_L) Y_{\tilde{J}_L}^\dagger(x_L)) C_{I_1 \dots I_L}^{J_1 \dots J_L} \text{tr}(Y^{I_1}(0) Y_{J_1}^\dagger(0) \cdots Y^{I_L}(0) Y_{J_L}^\dagger(0)) \rangle, \quad (27)$$

- where  $x_i = (R \cos \tau_i, R \sin \tau_i, 0)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, L$ , and

$$\oint \cdots \oint d\tau_{1>2>\dots>L} = \int_0^{2\pi} d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \cdots \int_0^{\tau_{L-1}} d\tau_L. \quad (28)$$

# Wick contractions

- One can easily obtain

$$\langle W(C)_{1/6}^B \mathcal{O}_C(0) \rangle = \frac{\lambda^{2L} k^L}{(L-1)!(2R)^{2L}} C_{I_1 \dots I_L}^{J_1 \dots J_L} R_{J_L}^{I_L} \dots R_{J_1}^{I_1}. \quad (29)$$

- Here  $\lambda \equiv \frac{N}{k}$  is the 't Hooft coupling of ABJM theory and we have used the tree-level propagators of the scalar fields

$$\langle Y^{I\alpha}_{\bar{\beta}}(x) Y_J^\dagger \bar{\gamma}_\rho(y) \rangle = \frac{\delta_J^I \delta_\rho^\alpha \delta_{\bar{\beta}}^{\bar{\gamma}}}{4\pi|x-y|}. \quad (30)$$

## Boundary state

- In the spin chain language, we can introduce the following boundary state

$$|\mathcal{B}_{1/6}^B\rangle = |\mathcal{B}_R\rangle, \quad (31)$$

where, for a four-dimensional matrix  $M$ , we define the boundary state  $|\mathcal{B}_M\rangle$  through

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_M | \equiv M_{J_1}^{I_1} M_{J_2}^{I_2} \cdots M_{J_L}^{I_L} \langle I_1, J_1, \cdots, I_L, J_L | = (M_J^I \langle I, J |)^{\otimes L}, \quad (32)$$

which is a two-site state.

## The overlap

Then the above correlation function can be expressed as

$$\langle W(\mathcal{C})_{1/6}^B \mathcal{O}_C(0) \rangle = \frac{\lambda^{2L} k^L}{(L-1)!(2R)^{2L}} \langle \mathcal{B}_{1/6}^B | \mathcal{O}_C \rangle, \quad (33)$$

where  $|\mathcal{O}_C\rangle$  is the spin chain state corresponding to the operator  $\mathcal{O}_C$ .

# The norm

- Let us define the normalization factor  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{O}}$  using the two-point function of  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{O}^\dagger$  as

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(x) \mathcal{O}^\dagger(y) \rangle = \frac{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{O}}}{|x - y|^{2\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}}}, \quad (34)$$

where  $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}}$  is the conformal dimension of  $\mathcal{O}$ .

- At tree level and the planar limit, we have

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{O}} = \left( \frac{N}{4\pi} \right)^{2L} L \langle \mathcal{O} | \mathcal{O} \rangle. \quad (35)$$

## WL one-point function

- We define the Wilson-loop one-point function as

$$\langle\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle\rangle_{W(\mathcal{C})} \equiv \frac{\langle W(\mathcal{C})\mathcal{O} \rangle}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{O}}}}. \quad (36)$$

- Then for  $W_{1/6}^B$  we have

$$\langle\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle\rangle_{W(\mathcal{C})_{1/6}^B} = \frac{\pi^L \lambda^L}{R^{2L} (L-1)! \sqrt{L}} \frac{\langle \mathcal{B}_{1/6}^B | \mathcal{O} \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \mathcal{O} | \mathcal{O} \rangle}}. \quad (37)$$

- The computation of the Wilson loop one-point function thus amounts to the calculation of

$$\frac{\langle \mathcal{B}_{1/6}^B | \mathcal{O} \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \mathcal{O} | \mathcal{O} \rangle}}, \quad (38)$$

which will be performed by integrability in some cases.

## Boundary states from other Ws

- For  $\hat{W}(C)_{1/6}^B$ , the boundary state is

$$\langle \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{1/6}^B | = R^{I_1}_{J_L} R^{I_2}_{J_1} \cdots R^{I_L}_{J_{L-1}} \langle I_1, J_1, \cdots, I_L, J_L |. \quad (39)$$

- We can rewrite  $|\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{1/6}^B\rangle$  as

$$|\hat{\mathcal{B}}_{1/6}^B\rangle = U_{\text{even}} |\mathcal{B}_{1/6}^B\rangle \quad (40)$$

where  $U_{\text{even}}$  is the shift operator which shifts all even site to the left by two units and leave the odd sites untouched.



## Boundary states from other WLs

- The boundary state from  $W_{1/6}^F$  is

$$|\mathcal{B}_{1/6}^F\rangle = (1 + U_{\text{even}})|\mathcal{B}_U\rangle, \quad (41)$$

with  $U = \text{diag}(i, i - 2\bar{\alpha}^1\beta_1, -i, -i)$ .

- The boundary state from  $W_{1/2}$  is

$$|\mathcal{B}_{1/2}\rangle = |\mathcal{B}_{1/6}^F\rangle|_{\bar{\alpha}^1\beta_1=i} \quad (42)$$

## IBS from WLs

- Partly based on [Piroli, Pozsgay, Vernier, 17], we proved that the boundary state  $|\mathcal{B}\rangle$  from a bosonic 1/6-BPS WL or a half-BPS WL satisfies the following twisted integrable condition,

$$\tau(-u-2)|\mathcal{B}\rangle = \tau(u)|\mathcal{B}\rangle. \quad (43)$$

- This leads to the pairing condition which states that  $\langle \mathcal{B} | \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$  is non-zero only when the selection rule

$$\mathbf{u} = -\mathbf{v}, \quad \mathbf{w} = -\mathbf{w} \quad (44)$$

is satisfied.

- Here  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$  are three sets of Bethe roots.
- Another selection rule for  $\langle \mathcal{B} | \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle$  being nonzero is that  $K_{\mathbf{u}} = K_{\mathbf{v}} = K_{\mathbf{w}} = L$ .

## Non-integrable boundary states

- In our paper, we also showed that the boundary state from a generic(\*) fermionic  $1/6$ -BPS WL is not integrable.
- \* By 'generic', we mean that this WL is neither half-BPS nor essentially bosonic  $1/6$ -BPS.

# Overlaps

- We obtained the following formula for the normalized overlap between  $|\mathcal{B}_{1/6}^R\rangle$  and a Bethe state,

$$\frac{|\langle \mathcal{B}_{1/6}^R | \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle|^2}{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} | \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle} = \prod_{i=1}^{K_{\mathbf{w}}/2} \frac{w_i^2}{w_i^2 + 1/4} \times \frac{\det G^+}{\det G^-}. \quad (45)$$

- Here the Bethe roots satisfy the pairing condition,  $G^+$  and  $G^-$  are Gaudin matrices depending on  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ . The definition of these matrices can be found in [Yang, Jiang, Komatsu, JW, 21]
- This result was obtained using [Gombor, Bajnok, 20][Gombor, Kristjansen, 22] and passed non-trivial checks based on numerical computations.

# Overlaps

- For another bosonic 1/6-BPS WL, we have

$$\frac{\langle \widehat{\mathcal{B}}_{1/6}^R | \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} | \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle}} = \prod_{j=1}^{K_{\mathbf{u}}} \frac{u_j + i/2}{u_j - i/2} \frac{\langle \mathcal{B}_R | \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} | \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle}}. \quad (46)$$

- Hence there is a relative phase between these two boundary state.

# Overlaps

For half-BPS WLs, we have

$$\frac{|\langle \mathcal{B}_{1/2} | \mathbf{u}, -\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle|^2}{\langle \mathbf{u}, -\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} | \mathbf{u}, -\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle} = \left| 1 + \prod_{j=1}^{K_{\mathbf{u}}} \left( \frac{u_j + i/2}{u_j - i/2} \right)^2 \right|^2 \frac{|\langle \mathcal{B}_U | \mathbf{u}, -\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle|^2}{\langle \mathbf{u}, -\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} | \mathbf{u}, -\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle}. \quad (47)$$

$$\frac{|\langle \mathcal{B}_U | \mathbf{u}, -\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle|^2}{\langle \mathbf{u}, -\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} | \mathbf{u}, -\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w} \rangle} = (-1)^L \prod_{i=1}^{K_{\mathbf{u}}} \left( u_i^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{[K_{\mathbf{w}}/2]} \frac{1}{w_j^2 (w_j^2 + 1/4)} \frac{\det G_+}{\det G_-}. \quad (48)$$

# Summary

- By studying WL one-point function at tree level, we found that bosonic  $1/6$ -BPS and half-BPS WLs lead to integrable boundary states (in the scalar sector).
- For generic fermionic  $1/6$ -BPS WLs, the corresponding boundary states are not integrable.
- We computed the norm of the overlap of the integrable boundary states from WLs and the Bethe states.

# Outlook

- Compute the phases of the overlaps when the boundary states from WLs are integrable.
- Are boundary states from bosonic  $1/6$ -BPS and half-BPS WLs integrable in the full sector and at higher loop level?
- Possible all loop overlaps in the asymptotic sense.
- And finite size effects from TBA.
- Integrable boundary states from circular WLs in higher dimensional representations of a suitable (super-)group? How about the case of more complicated WLs?
- Correlators of BPS WLs and CPOs from localization and/or holography.



**Thanks for Your Attention !**