

Kavli Institute for Theoretical Sciences (KITS)

University of Chinese Acadamy of Sciences (UCAS)

第五届全国场论与弦论学术研讨会

彭桓武高能基础理论研究中心(合肥),6/27/2024

with Jia-yin Shen and Li-xin Li, arXiv:2402.00694

## BACKGROUND



#### **BH EVAPORATION AND ENSEMBLE AVERAGE**

Penginton; Almheiri, Engelhardt, Marolf, Maxfield; Almheiri, Hartman, Maldacena, Shaghoulian, Tajdini;

A "solvable" incarnation of the information paradox

> The information paradox:

Are Hawking radiations from Blackholes thermal or informative?

- Recent breakthroughs in this puzzle in low-dimensional solvable toy models
  - New quantum extremal surface
     in an evaporating black hole
  - Alternatively, the necessity of including the spacetime wormholes in the gravitational path integral



#### **BH EVAPORATION AND ENSEMBLE AVERAGE**

Spacetime wormholes are tied with ensemble averages of theories

( Coleman; Giddings Strominger; Maldacena Maoz)

• Evidence including e.g.



Disordered models are special cases of the "ensemble average theories"



#### NICE STORIES, AND PAGE CURVES CAN BE REPRODUCED.



#### NICE STORIES, AND PAGE CURVES CAN BE REPRODUCED.

#### BUT THIS IS NOT THE END OF THE STORY, IT IS RATHER THE TIP OF AN ICEBURG...





Ensemble average theories: physics described by not a single Hamiltonian, but an ensemble of them

Multi-boundary geometries with Lorentzian signature





Ensemble average theories: physics described by not a single Hamiltonian, but an ensemble of them

Multi-boundary geometries with Lorentzian signature



- If there exist high dimensional covariant disordered models ?
   2D and 3D models with different numbers of SUSY and tunable parameters
- Do they share similar nice features as their low dimensional counterparts ?
   Solvable in the large-N limit, analytically in the IR and numerically in general
- Do they fulfill the usual requirements obeyed by conventional QFTs ?
   Consistent with various bootstrap bounds, hence compatible with many requirements
- If there are clear connections with other well-known conventional QFTs ?
   Observe higher-spin limits in different models, which sets up clear connections with higher-spin theories and probably string theory

- If there exist high dimensional covariant disordered models ? UV "free" fixed point
   2D and 3D models with different numbers of SUSY and tunable parameters
- Do they share similar nice features as their low dimensional counterparts ?
   Solvable in the large-N limit, analytically in the IR and numerically in general
- Do they fulfill the usual requirements obeyed by conventional QFTs ?
   Consistent with various bootstrap bounds, hence compatible with many requirements
- If there are clear connections with other well-known conventional QFTs ?
   Observe higher-spin limits in different models, which sets up clear connections with higher-spin theories and probably string theory

- If there exist high dimensional covariant disorders' fixed point + disorder 2D and 3D models with different numbers of SU  $+ O_{32}$  +  $O_{32}$
- Do they share similar nice features as their low dimensional counterparts ?
   Solvable in the large-N limit, analytically in the IR and numerically in general
- Do they fulfill the usual requirements obeyed by conventional QFTs ?
   Consistent with various bootstrap bounds, hence compatible with many requirem
- If there are clear connections with other well-known conventional QFTs ?
   Observe higher-spin limits in different models, which sets up clear connections with higher-spin theories and probably string theory

- If there exist high dimensional covariant diarders' fixed point + disorder 2D and 3D models with different numbers of SU  $+ O_{ii}$  +  $O_{ii}$  +  $O_{ii}$
- 2. Do they share similar nice features as their low dimensional counterparts ? Solvable in the large-N limit, analytically in the IR and numerically in general  $\delta RG$
- 3. Do they fulfill the usual requirements obeyed by conventional QFTs ? Consistent with various **bootstrap** bounds, hence compatible with many requirements
- If there are clear connection with the set of the set

CP, 2018, Chang, Colin-Ellerin, CP, Rangamani, 2021, 2022, and W.I.P.

- If there exist high dimensional covariant diarders' fixed point + disorder 2D and 3D models with different numbers of SU  $+ O_{32}$  +  $O_{32}$  +  $O_{32}$  +  $O_{32}$
- 2. Do they share similar nice features as their low dimensional counterparts ? Solvable in the large-N limit, analytically in the IR and numerically in general & RG
- 3. Do they fulfill the usual requirements obeyed by conventional QFTs ?

Consiste IR new fixed point ap bounds, here compatible with many requirements

If there are clear connections where well-known conventional QFTs ?
 Observe higher-spin limits in different models, which sets up clear connections higher-spin theories and probably string theory

CP, 2018, Chang, Colin-Ellerin, CP, Rangamani, 2021, 2022, and W.I.P.

- 1. If there exist high dimensional covariant diarders' fixed point + disorder 2D and 3D models with different numbers of SU  $+ O_{32}$  +  $O_{32}$  +  $O_{32}$
- 2. Do they share similar nice features as their low dimensional counterparts ? Solvable in the large-N limit, analytically in the IR and numerically in general  $\delta RG$
- 3. Do they fulfill the usual requirements obeyed by conventional QFTs ?

Consiste IR "四有" new fixed point: her compatible with many requirements

If there Unitarity, onnection 
 Observe Locality, pin limits in different models, which sets up clear connections with Causality, higher-s Crossing symmetry bably string theory



Ensemble average theories: physics described by not a single Hamiltonian, but an ensemble of them

Multi-boundary geometries with Lorentzian signature



## MOTIVATION



- We live in spacetime with Lorentzian signature.
- It is therefore interesting to construct counterparts of the multi-boundary connected Euclidean wormhole configurations in Lorentzian signature.
- However, a direct solution/continuation is not simple.
- Instead, we try to construct geometries with multiple boundaries by gluing.



## **GEOMETRY FROM GLUING**

- One way of constructing new geometries is by gluing pieces together with suitable junction conditions
- A familiar example is the Israel junction condition in GR

$$\left. g^{[0]} \right|_{\Sigma} = g^{[1]} \right|_{\Sigma}$$

$$(\mathcal{K}^{[0]} + \mathcal{K}^{[1]}) - (\operatorname{tr}_h \mathcal{K}^{[0]} + \operatorname{tr}_h \mathcal{K}^{[1]})h = -8\pi G \bar{S}$$
  
 $h \coloneqq g^{[i]}|_{\mathscr{T}(\Sigma)}, \quad i = 0, 1$ 

Israel, Il Nuovo Cimento B, 1966



## **GEOMETRY FROM GLUING**

 One way of constructing new geometries is by gluing pieces together with suitable junction conditions





#### CAN WE GLUE MORE BULKS TOGETHER TO GET MULTI-BOUNDARY GEOMETRIES?



# MULTI-WAY JUNCTION CONDITIONS

Shen, CP, Li, 2024

• We derive conditions for gluing multiple bulk geometries

> Pure gravity  
$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} \mathcal{K}^{[i]} - \mathrm{tr}_{h} \mathcal{K}^{[i]} h = -8\pi G \bar{S}$$

Dilaton gravity

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} 2\bar{\Phi} \operatorname{tr}_{h} \mathcal{K}^{[i]} + \lambda \mathfrak{L}_{n^{[i]}} \Phi^{[i]} = -4\pi G_{\mathrm{N}} \, ar{arrho}$$
  
 $\sum_{i=1}^{m} ar{\Phi}^{2} \left( \mathcal{K}^{[i]} - \operatorname{tr}_{h} \mathcal{K}^{[i]} \, h 
ight) - 2ar{\Phi} \mathfrak{L}_{n^{[i]}} \Phi^{[i]} \, h = -8\pi G_{\mathrm{N}} ar{\mathcal{S}}$ 



where  $h\coloneqq g^{[i]}\big|_{\mathscr{T}(\Sigma)}$ 

# MULTI-WAY JUNCTION CONDITIONS

Shen, CP, Li, 2024

• We derive conditions for gluing multiple bulk geometries

> Pure gravity  

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} \mathcal{K}^{[i]} - \operatorname{tr}_{h} \mathcal{K}^{[i]} h = -8\pi G \bar{S}$$

Dilaton gravity

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} 2\bar{\Phi} \operatorname{tr}_{h} \mathcal{K}^{[i]} + \lambda \mathfrak{L}_{n^{[i]}} \Phi^{[i]} = -4\pi G_{\mathrm{N}} \, ar{arrho}$$
 $\sum_{i=1}^{m} ar{\Phi}^{2} \left( \mathcal{K}^{[i]} - \operatorname{tr}_{h} \mathcal{K}^{[i]} \, h 
ight) - 2ar{\Phi} \mathfrak{L}_{n^{[i]}} \Phi^{[i]} \, h = -8\pi G_{\mathrm{N}} ar{\mathcal{S}}$ 

• The conditions apply to both timelike and spacelike interfaces





#### DERIVATION

• The first is geometrical in pure gravity

Embedding in a larger spacetime Define various curvatures therein, e.g. Find the conditions among them





## DERIVATION

• The first is geometrical in pure gravity

Embedding in a larger spacetime Define various curvatures therein, e.g. Find the conditions among them

$$\nabla^{[i]}_{\overline{X}}\overline{U} = \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\overline{U} - K^{[i]}(\overline{X},\overline{U})n^{[i]}$$

• The second is by varying the action with appropriate boundary terms, which applies to both pure gravity and dilaton gravity

$$I_{\mathcal{V}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \int_{V^{[i]}} \left\{ (\Phi^{[i]})^2 R^{[i]} + \lambda \left( \partial \Phi^{[i]} \right)^2 - \mathcal{U}^{[i]} \left( (\Phi^{[i]})^2 \right) \right\} \varepsilon^{[i]} - 2 \oint_{\Sigma} \bar{\Phi}^2 K^{[i]} \bar{\varepsilon}_h$$



## DERIVATION

• The first is geometrical in pure gravity

Embedding in a larger spacetime Define various curvatures therein, e.g. Find the conditions among them

$$\nabla^{[i]}_{\overline{X}}\overline{U} = \overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}}\overline{U} - K^{[i]}(\overline{X},\overline{U})n^{[i]}$$

• The second is by varying the action with appropriate boundary terms, which applies to both pure gravity and dilaton gravity

$$I_{\mathcal{V}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \int_{V^{[i]}} \left\{ (\Phi^{[i]})^2 R^{[i]} + \lambda \left( \partial \Phi^{[i]} \right)^2 - \mathcal{U}^{[i]} \left( (\Phi^{[i]})^2 \right) \right\} \varepsilon^{[i]} - 2 \oint_{\Sigma} \overline{\Phi}^2 K^{[i]} \overline{\varepsilon}_N$$

• The conditions apply to both timelike and spacelike interfaces

Jackiw-Tetelboim gravity

$$I_{\mathscr{V}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_{\rm N}} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \left\{ \int_{\mathscr{V}^{[i]}} \phi^{[i]} \left( R^{[i]} + \frac{2}{(L^{[i]})^2} \right) \varepsilon^{[i]} - 2 \oint_{\Sigma} \bar{\phi} \left( K^{[i]} + \frac{\chi}{m+1} \right) \bar{\varepsilon}_h \right\}$$

General solution of the dilaton profile

$$\phi^{[i]}(t,z) = A^{[i]} \cdot \frac{t}{z} + B^{[i]} \cdot \frac{t^2 - z^2}{z} + C^{[i]} \cdot \frac{1}{z}$$

• Classification according to the sign of  $D^{[i]} = \frac{(A^{[i]})^2 - 4B^{[i]}C^{[i]}}{(L^{[i]})^2}$ 

$$\begin{split} \text{Type +:} \quad & \phi^{[i]}(t,z) = A^{[i]} \cdot \frac{t}{z}, \quad A^{[i]} > 0; \\ \text{Type 0:} \quad & \phi^{[i]}(t,z) = \pm (L^{[i]})^3 \cdot \frac{1}{z}; \\ \text{Type -:} \quad & \phi^{[i]}(t,z) = \pm B^{[i]} \bigg( \frac{t^2 - z^2}{z} + (L^{[i]})^2 \cdot \frac{1}{z} \bigg), \quad B^{[i]} > 0 \end{split}$$





• In this case the junction conditions are

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} \operatorname{tr}_{h} K^{[i]} = -\chi, \qquad \sum_{i=0}^{m} \mathcal{L}_{n^{[i]}} \phi^{[i]} = -\overline{\phi} \chi$$
  
where  $\phi^{[i]}$  and  $\overline{\phi} = \phi^{[i]} \Big|_{\Sigma}$  are the dilaton fields on  $V^{[i]}$  and  $\Sigma$  respectively.

• The first condition leads to

$$\chi = \frac{1}{L^{[0]}} + \frac{1}{L^{[1]}} + \dots + \frac{1}{L^{[m]}}$$
 and  $\sum_{i=0}^{m} L^{[i]} \operatorname{Sch}[t^{[i]}] = 0$ 

• The second condition is more interesting.

• Consider gluing multiple AdS<sub>2</sub> geometries along an interface near the boundary of each bulk

- Choosing a parameterization such that the metric on the interface is  $h = -\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} du^2$
- We then compute

$$\mathfrak{L}_{n^{[i]}}\phi^{[i]}(u) = \partial_{\ell}\phi^{[i]}_{(-1)}(u) \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \partial_{\ell}\phi^{[i]}_{(1)}(u) \cdot \epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

- Now we can solve the second condition order by order in  $\epsilon$ 

$$\overline{\phi}(u) = \overline{\phi}_{(-1)}(u) \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \overline{\phi}_{(1)}(u) \cdot \epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)$$



• To the leading order, the condition requires

$$\overline{\phi}_{(-1)}(u)^2 = E \quad \Rightarrow \quad \overline{\phi}_{(-1)}(u) = \pm \sqrt{E}u + \alpha, \qquad \text{where} \qquad E = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^m D^{[i]} L^{[i]}}{\sum_{i=0}^m L^{[i]}}$$

т

 Gluing consistently multiple JT pages requires positivity of *E*, or equivalently a large enough number of type + pages

•  $D^{[i]}$  can be regarded as an effective potential, in fact it is easy to compute

$$\operatorname{Sch}[t^{[i]}] = \frac{E - D^{[i]}}{2(\sqrt{E}u + \alpha)^2}$$

## DISCUSSION

Shen, CP, Li, W.I.P.

- This provides tools to future study properties of connected geometries in Lorentzian signature
- In addition, we observe a resemblance with Feynman diagrams



• It is sensible since the junction conditions are in general among the extrinsic curvatures, which are classical conjugate momenta in the Hamiltonian formalism.

$$\pi^{[i]}_{\overline{\mu}\overline{\nu}} = \sqrt{|\det h|} \left( K^{[i]}_{\overline{\mu}\overline{\nu}} - K^{[i]}h_{\overline{\mu}\overline{\nu}} \right)$$

$$\sum_{i} \pi^{[i]}_{\overline{\mu}\overline{\nu}} = -8\pi G \sqrt{|\det h|} \overline{S}_{\overline{\mu}\overline{\nu}}$$



## THANK YOU!

