A Differential Representation for Holographic Correlators





第五届全国场论与弦论学术研讨会 中国科学技术大学 2024.6.27

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

some preliminary observations on structures of loop scattering in AdS

arxiv:2403.10607 + work to appear next week



黄中杰



王波

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Scattering half-BPS operators in AdS



 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{typical examples: supergravitons in $AdS_5 \times S^5$, $$ supergluons in $AdS_5 \times S^3$, \cdots } \end{array}$

[NUMEROUS literature][Bissi, Sinha, Zhou '22][Heslop '22]

focus: weakly-coupled dynamics in the bulk

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Scattering half-BPS operators in AdS

$$\mathcal{O}_{p}(x; y) \equiv \mathcal{O}_{p}^{l_{1}l_{2}\ldots l_{p}}(x)y^{l_{1}}y^{l_{2}}\cdots y^{l_{p}}.$$

p - dimension (KK charge). *y* - $SU_R(4) \approx SO(6)$.

AdS₅ × S³ ⇔ some N = ∈ SCFTs (gluon sector only)
 supergluons + Kaluza–Klein tower:

$$\mathcal{O}_{p}^{l}(x;v,\bar{v}) \equiv \mathcal{O}_{p}^{l;\alpha_{1}\ldots\alpha_{p};\beta_{1}\ldots\beta_{p-1}}v_{\alpha_{1}}\cdots v_{\alpha_{p}}\bar{v}_{\beta_{1}}\cdots\bar{v}_{\beta_{p-2}}.$$

I - flavor (boundary) / gauge (bulk). v - $SU_R(2)$. v - $SU_L(2)$.

Basic structure of the correlator



e. polarizations for internal symmetries.

• Bulk perturbation expansion $(N \gg 1, \lambda \gg 1)$



 $AdS_5 \times S^5$: $a \propto 1/N^2$. $AdS_5 \times S^3$: $a \propto 1/N$. $1/\lambda$ corrections (stringy effects) omitted.

A tale of two representations

position spaceMellin space
$$\mathcal{H}(U, V; \epsilon)$$
 $\mathcal{M}(s, t; \epsilon)$

Example: supergraviton $\langle 2222\rangle$

$$\mathcal{H}_{2222} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}s \mathrm{d}t}{(2\pi i)^2} \, U^{\frac{s+4}{2}} V^{\frac{t-4}{2}} \Gamma^2(\frac{4-s}{2}) \Gamma^2(\frac{4-t}{2}) \Gamma^2(\frac{4-\tilde{u}}{2}) \, \mathcal{M}_{2222}$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(()

A tale of two representations
position space Mellin space

$$\mathcal{H}(U, V; \epsilon)$$
 Mellin space
 $\mathcal{H}(U, V; \epsilon)$ $\mathcal{M}(s, t; \epsilon)$
Example: supergraviton $\langle 2222 \rangle$ @ tree
 $\mathcal{H}_{2222}^{(1)} = \frac{P_0(z, \bar{z})}{(z - \bar{z})^4} + \frac{P_1(z, \bar{z})}{(z - \bar{z})^6} \log U + \frac{P_2(z, \bar{z})}{(z - \bar{z})^6} \log V$
 $+ \frac{P_3(z, \bar{z})}{(z - \bar{z})^7} \underbrace{\left[2\text{Li}_2(z) - 2\text{Li}_2(\bar{z}) + \log(z\bar{z})\log\left(\frac{1-z}{1-\bar{z}}\right) + \frac{P_2(z, \bar{z})}{W_2(z, \bar{z})} + \frac{$

[Arutyunov, Frolov, '00], [Dolan, et al, '06]

$$\mathcal{M}_{2222}^{(1)} = \frac{2}{(s-2)(t-2)(\tilde{u}-2)}, \quad s+t+\tilde{u}=4$$

[Rastelli, Zhou '16]

"Boundary conditions" for loop-level bootstrap

unitarity recursion [Aharony et al '16]



- $*_*$ max power of log U
- ** explicit function in front of $\log^2 U$
- ** function type of the entire $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$

- $*_*$ max power of S pole
- ** explicit residues of $\log^2 U$
- ** pole structure of the entire $\mathcal{M}^{(2)}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Position vs Mellin

position space



Mellin space

$$\sum_{i} \frac{P_{i}(z,\bar{z})}{(z-\bar{z})^{\#}} \left\{ \log \frac{1}{U,\log V} \right\}$$





$$\sum_{\mathsf{weight} \leq 4} \frac{P_i(z, \bar{z})}{(z - \bar{z})^{\#}} \, G_i^{\mathrm{SV}}(z, \bar{z})$$

$$\sum_{\mathsf{weight} \leq 4} \frac{P_i(z, \bar{z})}{(z - \bar{z})^{\#}} \, G_i^{\mathrm{SV}}(z, \bar{z})$$

ana laan

$$\sum_{m,n=4}^{\infty} \frac{a_{mn}}{(s-m)(t-n)} + (\text{crossing})$$

$$\sum_{m,n=4}^{\infty} \frac{a_{mn}}{(s-m)(t-n)} + (\text{crossing})$$



two loops $\langle 2222 \rangle$

 \odot

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Various contributions in the OPE



[Aprile, Drummond, Heslop, Paul, '19] [Huang, Wang, EYY, Zhou, '23]

 ω : size of the window \mathcal{E} : extremality (amount of R structures)

Above threshold (leading logarithmic) data can be easily determined by a hidden higher dimensional conformal symmetry. [Caron-Huot, Trinh '18]

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

э

Back to tree level



Back to tree level



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ → 三 ● ◆○

The differential operators

 $-\partial_{II}\partial_{V}(1+U\partial_{II}+V\partial_{V})$

can be decomposed as polynomials of

 $V^{\pm 1}$ $\mathcal{D}_{II} \equiv U \partial_{II}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{V} \equiv V \partial_{V}, \quad U^{\pm 1},$



position space



Mellin space

 $\mathcal{D}_{II}^m \mathcal{H}(U, V)$ $\mathcal{D}^n_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{H}(U,V)$

 $S^m \widehat{\mathcal{M}}(S, T)$ $T^n \widehat{\mathcal{M}}(S, T)$

The differential operators

$$-\partial_U \partial_V (1 + U \partial_U + V \partial_V)$$

can be decomposed as polynomials of

 $\mathcal{D}_{U} \equiv U \partial_{U}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{V} \equiv V \partial_{V}, \quad U^{\pm 1}, \quad V^{\pm 1}$

second type

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}^{a} \ \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}) &= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}S\mathrm{d}T}{(2\pi i)^{2}} \mathcal{U}^{S+a} \mathcal{V}^{T} \widehat{\Gamma}(S, T) \widehat{\mathcal{M}}(S, T) \\ &= \int \frac{\mathrm{d}S\mathrm{d}T}{(2\pi i)^{2}} \mathcal{U}^{S} \mathcal{V}^{T} \widehat{\Gamma}(S, T) \left[(-S)_{a} (1+S+T)_{-a} \right]^{2} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}(S-a, T) \end{aligned}$$



The differential operators

 $-\partial_U \partial_V (1 + U \partial_U + V \partial_V)$

can be decomposed as polynomials of

 $\mathcal{D}_{U} \equiv U \partial_{U}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{V} \equiv V \partial_{V}, \quad U^{\pm 1}, \quad V^{\pm 1}$



Tree-level $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ as differentials acting on \mathcal{W}_2 case of $\langle 2222 \rangle$ supergraviton position space $\mathcal{H}_{2222} = (U^{-1})(V^{-1})\underbrace{\left(-\mathcal{D}_U\mathcal{D}_V(1+\mathcal{D}_U+\mathcal{D}_V)\right)}_{\partial^3}\mathcal{W}_2$ Mellin space $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_2 \equiv 1 \xrightarrow{a^3} - ST(1+S+T)$ $\xrightarrow{V^{-1}} - \left(\frac{S+T+1}{T+1}\right)^2 S(T+1) \left(S+T+2\right)$

 $\xrightarrow{U^{-1}} -\left(\frac{S+T+1}{S+1}\right)^2 \left(\frac{S+T+2}{T+1}\right)^2 (S+1) (T+1) (S+T+3)$

$$= -\frac{(S+T+1)^2(S+T+2)^2(S+T+3)^2}{(S+1)(T+1)(S+T+3)}$$

Tree-level $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ as differentials acting on \mathcal{W}_2

similar fact applies to ALL tree-level reduced correlators in $AdS_5\times S^5$ and $AdS_5\times S^3$

$$\mathcal{H}_{pqrs}^{(1)} = \mathcal{P}_{pqrs}(U, V, U^{-1}, V^{-1}, \mathcal{D}_{U}, \mathcal{D}_{V}) \mathcal{W}_{2}$$

a consequence of recursion relations amoung \bar{D} functions

call \mathcal{W}_2 or its counterpart (via standard Mellin transform) \mathcal{M}_2 a seed function in position space or Mellin space

a SINGLE seed function is sufficient at tree level

Does this continue to hold at loop level?

** same type of differential operators

 $*_{*}$ can have extra seed functions but same set of seed functions for \underline{ALL} correlators

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ めぬぐ

Test on \mathcal{H}_{2222} of supergluons

Mellin space

[Alday, Bissi, Zhou, '21]



(convention: $S = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{twist 4 in S channel}$)

position space

[ZH, Wang, Yuan, Zhou, '23] (up to transcendental weight 4, too long to fit here)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

observation

** at tree level $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_2 = 1$ poles of $\mathcal{M}_{pars}^{(1)}$ are created by acting with U or V

 $*_*$ this is fine since $\mathcal{M}_{\it pqrs}^{(1)}$ only has **finitely** many poles

** $\mathcal{M}_{pqrs}^{(2)}$ has **infinitely** many poles cannot be derived in the same way

intuition

we want some function that provide a grid of poles at S = m and $T = n \ (m, n \in \mathbb{N})$

a simple choice

 $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{3}(S) \equiv \xi(S) = \psi^{(0)}(-S) + \gamma_{\rm E}$ $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{4}(S,T) \equiv \Phi(S,T) = -\frac{1}{2} \big((\xi(S) + \xi(T))^{2} + \xi'(S) + \xi'(T) + \pi^{2} \big)$

they have simple residues

$$\operatorname{Res}_{S=m} \xi(S) = 1, \qquad \operatorname{Res}_{S=m} \operatorname{Res}_{T=n} \Phi(S, T) = 1, \qquad m, n \in \mathbb{N}$$



 $\frac{\text{problem}}{\text{extra poles of } S + T}$

٠

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{YM,st}}^{(2)}(S,T) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\frac{3m^2n + 2m^2 + 3mn^2 + 8mn + 3m + 2n^2 + 3n}{3(m+n)(m+n+1)(m+n+2)}}_{(S-m)(T-n)} \underbrace{\frac{1}{(S-m)(T-n)}}_{Q} \\ \underbrace{\frac{3S^2T + 2S^2 + 3ST^2 + 8ST + 3S + 2T^2 + 3T}{3(S+T)(S+T+1)(S+T+2)}}_{3(S+T)(S+T+1)(S+T+2)} \Phi(S,T) \\ - \frac{(3S^2 + 3ST + 5S + T)}{3(S+T)(S+T+2)}\xi(S) + (S \leftrightarrow T) \\ + \frac{2}{3(S+T)} + C$$

there seem to be three seed functions: $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_4 \equiv \Phi(S, T), \quad \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_3 \equiv \xi(S), \quad \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_2 \equiv 1$ What justifies a differential representation?

observation 1

any poles in addition to the seed functions can ONLY come from an action of U^a or V^b $\left[\frac{3S^2T + 2S^2 + 3ST^2 + 8ST + 3S + 2T^2 + 3T}{3(S+T)(S+T+1)(S+T+2)} \Phi(S,T) - \frac{(3S^2 + 3ST + 5S + T)}{3(S+T)(S+T+2)} \xi(S) + (S \leftrightarrow T) + \frac{2}{3(S+T)} + C\right] \times \underbrace{(S+T+1)^2(S+T+2)^2}_{\Rightarrow \text{ standard Mellin transform}}$

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ う へ ()

What justifies a differential representation?

observation 2

the action of U^a or V^b is always accompanied by corresponding double zeros and shifts



these structures are uniquely fixed by the power of U (or V)

we call such phenomenon **double zero property** a *necessary* condition for the existence of differential representation

Differential representation for \mathcal{H}_{2222} of supergluons

a strategy

$$\begin{split} & \left[\frac{3S^2T+2S^2+3ST^2+8ST+3S+2T^2+3T}{3(\textbf{\textit{S}}+\textbf{\textit{T}})(\textbf{\textit{S}}+\textbf{\textit{T}}+1)(\textbf{\textit{S}}+\textbf{\textit{T}}+2)} \Phi(\textbf{\textit{S}},\textbf{\textit{T}}) \right. \\ & - \frac{(3S^2+3ST+5S+\textbf{\textit{T}})}{3(\textbf{\textit{S}}+\textbf{\textit{T}})(\textbf{\textit{S}}+\textbf{\textit{T}}+2)} \xi(\textbf{\textit{S}}) + (\textbf{\textit{S}}\leftrightarrow\textbf{\textit{T}}) \\ & + \frac{2}{3(\textbf{\textit{S}}+\textbf{\textit{T}})} + C \right] \times (\textbf{\textit{S}}+\textbf{\textit{T}}+1)^2 (\textbf{\textit{S}}+\textbf{\textit{T}}+2)^2 \end{split}$$

partial fraction + identities among Φ and $\xi \Longrightarrow$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{2T^2}{3(S+T)}\Phi(S, T-1) + \frac{(T^2+T+1)}{3(S+T+1)}\Phi(S, T) + \frac{(T+1)^2}{3(S+T+2)}\Phi(S, T+1) \\ -\xi(S) + C \end{bmatrix} \times (S+T+1)^2(S+T+2)^2$$

goal: each piece being separately free of S+T poles

Differential representation for \mathcal{H}_{2222} of supergluons

two terms involving action of multiplications

$$-\frac{2\,{\bf T}^2}{3({\bf S}+{\bf T})}({\bf S}+{\bf T}+1)^2({\bf S}+{\bf T}+2)^2\Phi({\bf S},{\bf T}-{\bf 1})$$

$$\frac{(T+1)^2}{3(S+T+2)}(S+T+1)^2(S+T+2)^2\frac{(T+1)^2}{(T+1)^2}\Phi(S,T+1)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Differential representation for \mathcal{H}_{2222} of supergluons

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{2}{3}(2 + \mathcal{D}_{U} + \mathcal{D}_{V})^{2}(1 + \mathcal{D}_{U} + \mathcal{D}_{V})^{2}(\mathcal{D}_{U} + \mathcal{D}_{V})V \\ + \frac{1}{3}(2 + \mathcal{D}_{U} + \mathcal{D}_{V})^{2}(1 + \mathcal{D}_{U} + \mathcal{D}_{V})(1 + \mathcal{D}_{V} + \mathcal{D}_{V}^{2}) \\ + \frac{1}{3}(2 + \mathcal{D}_{U} + \mathcal{D}_{V})(1 + \mathcal{D}_{V})^{4}V^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{W}_{4} \\ + (2 + \mathcal{D}_{U} + \mathcal{D}_{V})^{2}(1 + \mathcal{D}_{U} + \mathcal{D}_{V})^{2}(-\mathcal{W}_{3} + \mathcal{C}\mathcal{W}_{2})$$

 \mathcal{W}_i the seed functions in position space

$$\mathcal{W}_{i} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}S\mathrm{d}T}{(2\pi i)^{2}} \mathcal{U}^{S} \mathcal{V}^{T} \underbrace{\Gamma(-S)^{2}\Gamma(-T)^{2}\Gamma(1+S+T)^{2}}_{\widehat{\Gamma}(S,T)} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{i}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

More on the position space

multiple polylogarithms (MPL)

$$G_{a_1a_2\cdots a_n,z} \equiv G_{a_1a_2\cdots a_n}(z) = \int_0^z \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t-a_1} G_{a_2a_3\cdots a_n}(t)$$
$$G(z) = 1, \quad G_{\vec{0}_n}(z) = \frac{1}{n!} \log^n z$$

[Goncharov '01]

** branch points at $z, \bar{z} = 0, 1, \infty$ ** single-valued on the Euclidean slice $\bar{z} = z^*$ (SVMPL)

# of independent SVMPLs	tree	one loop
ansatz for bootstrap	8	42
reduced correlator	4	10
seed functions	1	3

[Huang, EYY, '21]

More on the position space

Seed functions $\mathcal{W}_3,\ \mathcal{W}_4$

$$\mathcal{W}_i(z, \bar{z}) = rac{\mathcal{W}_i(z, \bar{z})}{z - \bar{z}}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{W}_{3}(z,\bar{z}) &= G_{00,\bar{z}}G_{1,z} - G_{1,\bar{z}}G_{00,z} + G_{01,\bar{z}}G_{0,z} + G_{0,\bar{z}}G_{01,z} - G_{10,\bar{z}}G_{0,z} \\ &- G_{10,\bar{z}}G_{1,z} + G_{0,\bar{z}}G_{10,z} - G_{1,\bar{z}}G_{10,z} + 2G_{10,\bar{z}}G_{\bar{z},z} - 2G_{01,\bar{z}}G_{\bar{z},z} \\ &+ 2G_{1,\bar{z}}G_{\bar{z}0,z} - 2G_{0,\bar{z}}G_{\bar{z}1,z} + 2G_{\bar{z}01,z} - 2G_{\bar{z}10,z} - G_{001,\bar{z}} \\ &+ G_{010,\bar{z}} - G_{100,\bar{z}} + G_{101,\bar{z}} - G_{001,z} + G_{010,z} + G_{100,z} - G_{101,z} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} W_4(z,\bar{z}) &= G_{01,\bar{z}}G_{00,z} + G_{10,\bar{z}}G_{01,z} + G_{11,\bar{z}}G_{10,z} + G_{00,\bar{z}}G_{11,z} + G_{001,\bar{z}}G_{1,z} \\ &+ G_{0,\bar{z}}G_{001,z} + G_{010,\bar{z}}G_{0,z} + G_{1,\bar{z}}G_{010,z} + G_{101,\bar{z}}G_{0,z} \\ &+ G_{1,\bar{z}}G_{101,z} + G_{110,\bar{z}}G_{1z} + G_{0\bar{z}}G_{110,z} + G_{0011,\bar{z}} + G_{0100,\bar{z}} \\ &+ G_{1010,\bar{z}} + G_{1101,\bar{z}} + G_{0010,z} + G_{0101,z} + G_{1011,z} + G_{1100,z} \\ &- (z \leftrightarrow \bar{z}) \,. \end{split}$$

Loop reduction in AdS?



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

$\langle 2222\rangle$ of supergravitons

$$\mathcal{M}^{(2)} = \mathcal{M}^{(2)}_{st}(S, T) + ($$
two other channels $)$

[Alday, Zhou, '19]

$$\mathcal{M}_{st}^{(2)}(S,T) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{m,n}}{(S-m)(T-n)}$$

with

$$b_{m,n} = \frac{16}{5(m+n-1)_5} (F_{m,n} + F_{n,m})$$

$$F_{m,n} = 2(m-1)m(n+1)(n+2)(m+n+2)(m+n+3) + (m+1)(m+2)(n+1)(n+2)(m+n-1)(m+n) + 4m(m+1)n(n+1)(m+n+2)(m+n+3) + 8m(m+1)(n+1)(n+2)(m+n-1)(m+n+3).$$

$\langle 2222\rangle$ of supergravitons



plus two other channels

straightforward to convert to position space

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ○ ○ ○

$\langle 2222\rangle$ of supergravitons

$$-16(S + 2T + 4)f_{S} -16(T + 2S + 4)f_{T} -16(S + 2\tilde{U} + 4)f_{S} -16(\tilde{U} + 2S + 4)f_{\tilde{U}} -16(T + 2\tilde{U} + 4)f_{T} -16(\tilde{U} + 2T + 4)f_{\tilde{U}}$$

$$f_{\mathsf{x}} = \xi(\mathsf{x}), \qquad \mathsf{S} + \mathsf{T} + \tilde{U} = -4$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 ○のへ⊙

 ξ (and hence \mathcal{W}_3) drops out in the final result \Rightarrow reduces to differentials on a "box"!

Higher Kaluza–Klein charges

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

Various contributions in the OPE



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 三▶ ◆ 三▶ ・ 三 ・ の へ ()・

Mellin amplitudes

a natural def of Mellin amplitudes

Pattern of residues in the Mellin amplitudes



Bulk + Edge Region

Corner Region

[Huang, Wang, EYY, Zhou, '23]

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

residues fully **analytic** in the bulk region and can be continued to the edge region

Analyticity in the differential representation

potential violation of bulk analyticity in $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}(S, T)$

type 1

$$\frac{\widehat{\delta}}{(\textit{\textit{S}}-\textit{\textit{a}})(\textit{\textit{T}}-\textit{\textit{b}})} \quad \text{for some }\textit{\textit{a}},\textit{b} \geq 0$$

but such denominator cannot be created by differentiation

type 2

$$\widehat{f}(S,T)\Phi(S-a,T-b)$$

naively, residue analytic only for $(S, T) = (m \ge a, n \ge b)$ but double zero property dictates that

$$\widehat{f}(S,T) \propto [S(S-1)\cdots(S-a+1) T(T-1)\cdots T(T-b+1)]^2$$

Analyticity in the differential representation

 $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}(S, T)$ has to strictly meet double zero property, with residues analytic in the bulk region.

for ordinary amplitude $\mathcal{M}(S, T)$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}} = (-S)_{\omega_s}(-T)_{\omega_t}(1+S+T)_{1+\frac{N}{2}}(1+S+T)_{1+\frac{N}{2}+2}\mathcal{M}$$

extra zeros when $\omega_s \neq 0$ or $\omega_t \neq 0$.

non-analyticity may come from terms such as

 $\frac{\omega_s \omega_t}{ST}$

when residues expect to be at most <u>LINEAR</u> in ω 's such non-analyticity is also <u>ABSENT</u>

 $\langle pqrs \rangle$, with s = p + q + r - 4, and cases related by permutations

no edge/corner region; bulk region contains window in general only a single R-symmetry structure

$$\mathcal{M}_{YM,st} = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathsf{a}_{mn}}{(S-m)(T-n)}$$

residues from hidden symmetries: for $m \ge \omega_s$ or $n \ge \omega_t$

$$a_{mn} \propto (\omega_s + 1)g_{0,1} + (\omega_t + 1)g_{1,0} + (\omega_u + 1)g_{1,1}$$
$$g_{i,j} = \frac{(m+i)(n+j)}{(m+n+i+j-1)_2}$$

linearity in $\omega \Longrightarrow a_{mn}$ directly applies to the entire bulk region!

note that a_{mn} itself is ambiguous at (m, n) = (0, 0)

after resummation the amplitude still has ambiguities of the form

 $\frac{\alpha_1\omega_s + \alpha_2\omega_t + \alpha_3\omega_u + \alpha_4}{ST}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Resummation result

$$\mathcal{M}_{\rm YM,st}^{(2)} \propto -\frac{\omega_{\rm s}S(S-1)+S^2}{S+T} \Phi_{S-1,T} \\ +\frac{2\omega_{\rm s}S^2 - (\omega_u - 1)(S^2 + S) + 1}{S+T+1} \Phi_{S,T} \\ +\frac{(\omega_u + 1)(S+1)^2}{2(S+T+2)} \Phi_{S+1,T} - \frac{2\omega_t + \omega_u + 3}{2} \xi_S \\ +\frac{2\alpha_1\omega_s + 2\alpha_2\omega_t + (2\alpha_3 - 1)\omega_u + 2\alpha_4 - 3}{4ST} \\ + (1 \leftrightarrow 3) + C_0$$

double zero property: the term marked red has to vanish

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

thus we reach a <u>closed formula</u> for all NNE correlators of supergluons without ever using any data in the window

and this formula is directly valid in both Mellin space and position space

this strategy also works for all NNE correlators of supergravitons

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Outlook

<ロ> <回> <回> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三</p>

Outlook

- Higher points, higher loops, higher KK modes...
- Other backgrounds (AdS₃ × S³, AdS₄ × S⁷, AdS₇ × S⁴, etc....)
- More systematic Mellin-position hybrid bootstrap (bootstraping the differential operator?)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- Witten diagram description?
- Weak coupling?

Thank you very much!

Questions & comments are welcome.